

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/008,428

Applicant(s)

DONTULA ET AL.

Examiner

Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:**Status of Application:** allowed(1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Lynn Blank.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2004**Time:** 1100**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The applicant's representative authorized examiner's amendment, which would remove term "derivative of" from claim 21 and attorney docket number from paragraph 1 of the specification.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)